

THE DAILY BEE.

E. JOSEPH, Editor.
PUBLISHED EVERY MORNING.
TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.
Daily Bee (without Sunday) One Year, \$5.00
Daily and Sunday, One Year, \$6.00
Six Months, \$3.00
Three Months, \$1.50
Sunday Bee, One Year, \$2.00
Saturday Bee, One Year, \$2.00
Weekly Bee, One Year, \$1.00

OFFICES.
Omaha, The Bee Building,
Fourth and Broadway, corner 10th and 11th Streets.
Council Bluffs, 12 Pearl Street.
Chicago Office, 377, Broadway, New York.
New York, Rooms 11, 12 and 13, Tribune Building.
Washington, 331 Fourteenth Street.

CORRESPONDENCE.
All communications relating to news and editorial matters should be addressed to the Editor, The Bee Building.

BUSINESS LETTERS.
All business letters and remittances should be addressed to The Bee Publishing Company, Omaha. Drafts, checks and postoffice orders to be made payable to the order of the company.

The Bee Publishing Company, Proprietors
THE BEE BUILDING.

SWORN STATEMENT OF CIRCULATION.
State of Nebraska.
County of Douglas.
I, Geo. R. Tschick, secretary of The Bee Publishing Company, do solemnly swear that the actual circulation of The Bee for the week ending December 12, 1891, was as follows:

Day	Copies
Sunday, Dec. 7	28,328
Monday, Dec. 8	25,368
Tuesday, Dec. 9	25,368
Wednesday, Dec. 10	25,368
Thursday, Dec. 11	25,368
Friday, Dec. 12	25,368
Saturday, Dec. 13	2,093

Average, 24,012.
Geo. R. Tschick, Secretary.
Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence this 12th day of December, A. D. 1891.
Notary Public.
The growth of the average daily circulation of The Bee for six years is shown in the following table:

Year	Copies
1886	10,728
1887	12,828
1888	15,328
1889	17,828
1890	20,328
1891	22,828

STATESMAN INAGALS is no longer out of a job. He has been elected president of the Atchison Chamber of Commerce.

SPEAKER CRISP will leave Washington for a few days to take a rest. The eminent Georgian weakens early in his new career.

JUDGE WAKELEY's decision will have a tendency to discourage the cut-throat chattel mortgage business which has hitherto been especially flourishing.

JAMES E. BOYD's remark that he was governor of Nebraska for four months when the state needed a governor is about as cutting a sarcasm as that gentleman ever uttered.

MICHAEL DAVITT refuses to stand as the McCarthyite candidate for the commons from Waterloo. Michael does not care to be the victim of the knights of the black thorn shillalah even if that gentleman ever uttered.

THE OMAHA GUARDS make a gallant fight to secure the national drill for Omaha, and now it is the duty of our citizens to help the boys make the occasion memorable. This requires enterprise, money and co-operation.

CUSTOMS receipts at New York for the first ten days of December have fallen off nearly \$1,000,000 as compared with the corresponding period last year. It is very evident Mr. McKinley is making his influence felt beyond the Atlantic.

POOR comfort the late "Land Bill Allen" took in the Ohio poor house over the fact that he had made many a fellow citizen independent through the homestead law and carved a name for himself on the enduring marble of the country's history.

IT TOOK J. Sterling Morton's conscience almost six months to get action; but a tardy conscience is better than none. However, a conscience that revolts at an appropriation for an exhibit at the World's fair must be extremely sensitive.

THE chief drawback to the enjoyment of what the signal service promises shall be a delightful day is the fact that the Union Depot company is apparently dead and the railway companies continue to huddle their hundreds of passengers in a miserably crowded hut under the Tenth street viaduct.

WITH William M. Springer at the head of the ways and means committee and Objection Holman as chairman of that on appropriations, it is safe to predict that there will be a heavy deficit in all the departments during the coming year. These famous economists will whittle down appropriations to a starving point.

A VERY interesting debate on the silver question took place in New York city a week ago at a club dinner, between Mr. Horace White, editor of the New York Evening Post, and Senator Stewart of Nevada. Mr. White's clear-out and forcible argument against the free and unlimited coinage is presented in another column of this issue of The Bee. It embodies an historic review of the coinage of the silver dollar in this country, and of the relations between the two money metals, that carries with it convincing proof of the soundness of the position Mr. White has taken on this great question.

LINCOLN is making an effort to secure the National prohibition convention of 1892. No city in the union can make a stronger fight for the place if the merits of location, hotel facilities and local temperance sentiment are permitted to have any weight in settling the question. Lincoln is a beautiful city of 55,000 people and the capital of Nebraska. Her railways reach out in every direction, making the city easily accessible from all sections of the union. Ample hotel facilities exist and the city is accustomed to entertaining large political conventions. It should be added, too, that in entertaining handsomely the city is unsurpassed. Lincoln is admirably adapted for a meeting place for the convention.

POPULAR ELECTION OF SENATORS.

Congressman Bryan's joint resolution proposing an amendment to the constitution of the United States that will permit the election of United States senators by a vote of the people can scarcely be considered as an original idea. The proposed reform has been talked on the stump, discussed in political conventions and agitated by newspapers for many years. The framers of our state constitution carried this idea of popular selection into effect, as far as they were able to do, by inserting a provision into the constitution that the voters shall at every election proceed the election of United States senator express their preference by ballot.

This was sixteen years ago, but the average Nebraska legislator does not care a penny about the expressed preference of the people after he has received his installment of annual passes from the railroad magnates and taken an oath that he would not accept any valuable thing from any person or corporation for any vote he might cast or withhold or for any influence he might exert as a legislator. Men who deliberately violate their oath of office on the very threshold of the legislative chamber care precious little for the preference expressed by the people on the senatorial issue.

Congressman Bryan is trying to transfer the power of electing United States senators from the legislature to the people. There is precisely where he will strike a snag, as other reformers have before him. His joint resolution must receive two-thirds of the votes of the house of representatives and an equal proportion of votes in the United States senate, and finally must be ratified by three-fourths of the legislatures of the states.

Does any sane man expect the senate as now constituted to vote for a constitutional amendment that will compel members of that august body to submit to the ordeal of election by the people? Such a thing is not within the range of probabilities. We would sooner expect that our American house of lords would amend the constitution so as to abolish the senate entirely, and remand all its imperial prerogatives to the popular branch of the national legislature.

Mr. Bryan's proposed reform will afford him a very fine opportunity for a spread eagle speech on popular sovereignty and senatorial disincrustation. That speech, duly franked to the robust and credulous farmers of the First district, will be more effective than so many packages of Uncle Jerry Rusak's Dutch cabbage and Irish turnip seeds. This is doubtless all Mr. Bryan was aiming at when he introduced the constitutional amendment resolution.

WHAT EVERYBODY KNOWS.
Everybody in Nebraska expects Bryan to give his ardent support to Mills. But to behold our impatient tariff reformer turned his back on Mills and voted first to last for the flexible Mr. Bill Springer. This was carrying free trade pigs to the wrong market. Why did Bryan vote for Springer? Simply because Springer had promised to jump the precious youth from Nebraska over the head of older democratic members of the ways and means committee. —OMAHA BEE.

On the contrary, everybody in Nebraska with enough energy to keep up with the times and enough intelligence to read the newspapers knew that Mr. Bryan would vote for his old friend, Congressman Springer, from start to finish. Everybody in Nebraska who was a supporter of Mr. Bryan at the election rejoiced that he remained true to an old family friend. —FOR D. HERR.

So Mr. Bryan has more regard for family attachments than he has for party principles. That is a confession which we did not expect his organ to make at the outset of his career as a tariff reformer. A man of convictions and lofty moral courage never sacrifices his principles for personal friendships. Think of John Bright, Richard Cobden, Thomas Jefferson, Charles Sumner, or Aileen G. Thurman sacrificing their individual convictions on a great political principle to family friendship.

What may the Nebraska democracy expect of Mr. Bryan if he cannot detach himself from his leading strings as an apprentice to Bill Springer and give vitality to the faith that is within him when the crucial test comes and he has to choose between an ambitious family friend who straddles the issue on which he was elected, and the man who is recognized as the embodiment of that issue?

THE BUREAU OF EDUCATION.
One of the smallest of the numerous bureaus which make up President Harrison calls "that complex and difficult department," presided over by the secretary of the interior, is the Bureau of Education. The commissioner, Hon. William T. Harris, is one of the leading educators of the country, and is probably the best equipped school man in America for the duties of this office. The clerical force is small, and the work of the bureau is chiefly statistical, though it has published several books and pamphlets of exceptional value to the teachers of the country, and those interested in educational matters.

The efficiency of the bureau has been seriously impaired for want of funds. Being so entirely theoretical in its investigations and the character of its publications largely technical, it is difficult to interest congress in the really important work which this bureau should perform. The present commissioner has compiled with great care valuable information regarding American educational history, but the lack of appropriations has prevented its publication. The request for \$20,000 for the publishing educational documents seems so modest that it is hoped congress will not refuse the appropriation.

The bureau has three very practical departments, however, and Secretary Noble's report briefly refers to them. The first is the direction of a specialist who is investigating the educational methods of other countries; the second is the distribution of the funds specially appropriated for the endowment and support of colleges of agriculture and the mechanic arts. The third is perhaps the most interesting branch of work allotted to the bureau, and that is education of the natives in Alaska. This involves not only training them how to speak, read and write

English, but in the arts and industries of civilization. In furthering the industrial features of this branch of educational work a herd of reindeer has been transported from Siberia to Oumakaska, from which it is proposed to propagate these useful animals to be distributed among the natives for food, to take the place of the whale and walrus, driven out to the sea by whalers. In the Alaska schools about 2,000 children are being taught and the commissioner asks for \$60,000 for furthering the school work in the territory, and a further appropriation for introducing reindeer as a means of subsistence for the natives. As we are beginning to appreciate Alaska it is to be hoped the educational and humanitarian efforts undertaken there may not be obstructed for want of funds.

REDUCED LETTER POSTAGE.

The people of the United States will at no very distant day enjoy the cheapest, if not the most perfect, postal facilities in the world. It may not be expedient to make a 1-cent rate for letters for several years, but the penny letter rate of postage can be made by the end of the present decade at the farthest. The revenues of the postoffice department are steadily increasing, the gain for last year amounting to over \$5,000,000, while the postmaster general estimates a deficiency for the year 1892 of less than \$4,000,000, and for the year 1893 a surplus of receipts over expenditures. If this estimate should be realized the question of reducing letter postage may then be seriously considered. Of course a 1-cent rate would result in again bringing about a deficiency for a number of years, but this would not be an important objection to the plan when the great benefit to the people is considered. The Postoffice department has never been self-sustaining, with the exception of a single year, and it is not intended to be a source of revenue to the treasury. The fact that it has uniformly expended more money than it earned has never caused any complaint, and will not in the future if such excess or expenditure represents advantages secured to the people from cheaper postage and more efficient service.

There is undoubtedly a widespread popular sentiment in favor of a reduction of letter postage, and it is pretty certain to grow with the discussion of the question, but a change from the present rate will depend chiefly upon the future revenues of the service. If these should be brought up to balance the expenditures two years hence, and as already observed the postmaster general estimates a surplus in 1893, and the receipts should continue for a few years to equal or exceed the expenditures, the demand for a 1-cent rate undoubtedly would become so universal and urgent that congress would be compelled to regard it. The promise is that such will be the case. It is a matter of history, not to be lost sight of in considering this question that every decrease in the postage rate has been followed by a noteworthy increase in the use of postal facilities and consequently in the postal receipts. This is true of Great Britain as well as of this country. The statement is made that in the United Kingdom with a more illiterate population than that of the United States, and with less postal carriages, the lower rate of letter postage has resulted in a very flattering increase in the postal receipts, far more than enough to compensate for the first reduction of revenues after the change in the rate. The lowering of letter postage in this country from 3 to 2 cents was followed by a very large increase in the postal business. A 1-cent rate for letters is certain to come, and probably before the close of the century.

PROGRESS OF RECIPROCITY.

Perhaps the most important reciprocity arrangement yet effected, next to that with Spain relating to trade with Cuba and Porto Rico, is the one with Germany. Under this arrangement, when it shall be ratified, the best sugar of Germany will continue to come into the United States free of duty, in consideration of which Germany will admit American grain and meats and some other articles at a material reduction of duties. What the German government agrees to do is to place the United States on an equal footing with Austria-Hungary, a member of the Zollverein just formed, so far as the duties on grain are concerned, and this must be regarded as an entirely reasonable as well as advantageous concession. It puts this country on the best attainable commercial relations with Germany, and it would seem that it must have the effect to bring the French government to a recognition of the expediency of reducing duties on American cereals. That government must see that, having already been placed in a position of isolation so far as European commerce is concerned, it cannot afford to maintain an attitude of hostility to the commerce of the United States. France has shown a friendly disposition in removing the prohibition against American pork, and she will make a great economic mistake if she does not show at least as much consideration for the United States as Germany has done.

The president stated in his message that it was expected a number of reciprocity arrangements now being considered would be concluded before the close of the year. Since the message was completed an arrangement has been effected with Costa Rica, and recently the secretary of state has received representatives of the British West Indies who are commissioned to negotiate reciprocity arrangements. There is manifest anxiety on the part of countries whose products are affected by the reciprocity clause of the tariff law to at least enter into negotiations before January 1. The law provides that "on and after the first day of January, 1892, whenever and so often as the president shall be satisfied that the government of any country producing and exporting sugars, molasses, coffee, tea, and hides, raw or unrefined, or any of such articles, imposes duties or other exactions upon the agricultural or other products of the United States, which, in view of the free introduction of such sugars, molasses, coffee, tea and hides into the United

States, he may deem to be reciprocally unequal and unreasonable, he shall have the power, and it shall be his duty, to suspend, by proclamation to that effect, the free introduction of such sugars, molasses, coffee, tea and hides, the production of such country, for such time as he shall deem just," etc. When it is stated that over forty countries whose best market is the United States are interested in this provision of law, its importance can be understood. There has been no intimation as to whether the president intends to act immediately on the opening of the new year, as the law empowers him to do. The opinion has been expressed that he has no discretion in the matter, and it would seem to have necessarily been the intention of congress that countries which had not taken advantage of the opportunity to secure reciprocity before January 1, 1892, were to be shown no favor after that date. Otherwise the intended effect of the law would be lost, and besides an injustice would be done to countries which have accepted reciprocity.

Effects have been made to depreciate the value of what has been accomplished in this direction, because as yet the increase of trade has not been very great with the reciprocity countries, but, however small the gain, it is worth having, and no reasonable person expected reciprocity to immediately revolutionize the commerce of other countries.

TEACHING THE LANGUAGES.

John Stuart Blackie, perhaps the ablest educator of Scotland and lately professor of Greek in the University of Edinburgh, in a well written article in the New York Independent takes the very sensible ground that French and German should be given the precedence in our college and university courses of study. After acquiring French and German he advises the student to seek acquaintance with Latin, Greek and Italian. The day has gone by when a knowledge of Latin and Greek was essential, or rather indispensable, to a liberal education. Two hundred years ago those mother tongues contained the storehouses of the knowledge of European civilization. One hundred years ago without them no man could claim to be scholarly. Today, however, the literature of Germany is perhaps equal to that of Rome and Athens, and the victorious French have made their language the international vehicle of polite and diplomatic conversation. The Englishman studies French because it is the most useful of foreign tongues and is rich in imaginative literature. He studies German for the same reason that the educated Roman of the first century studied Greek. Germany has produced the most profound thinkers of modern times, and the German language perpetuates their thoughts. These masters of encyclopedic learning have far-reaching speculation are entitled to the homage of the cultured people of today, as were the ancient Greeks to that of the Latins.

German and French touch us so closely in these latter days as to be well nigh indispensable. Only because the living word depends upon the past are the dead languages in any sense essential to a liberal education. But the step from these modern tongues to the written ideas of the ancients is a short one, and the discipline of mind which comes of mastering German and French makes that of requiring Latin and Greek comparatively easy. At least the scholar who writes, speaks and thinks in three distinct but largely derivative languages can readily connect the streams with the fountainhead. The average man of business and even of letters can use the living speech to some purpose while the dead languages are merely a luxury. Latin may be indispensable to the student of records and valuable to the lawyer; Greek is essential to the theologian and important to the physician and philosopher, but German and French are useful to all and essential to those who would profit by the stores of modern knowledge and enjoy the culture which comes from travel.

The venerable professor of Greek, speaking from his forty years of ripened experience, suggests to instructors that they are disposed to use books too much in teaching languages, and severely reflects upon what he calls "this abuse, proceeding as it does from laziness, incapacity or pedantry." Prof. Blackie would make the ear and the tongue instead of the eye the organs by which the brain shall comprehend the language the pupil seeks to acquire. The colloquial element must take precedence of the grammar and lesson book. The cumbersome element of grammar and syntax, as inculcated by books, should be left out of sight while facility of expression is acquired by hearing and talking. In five months by this natural method the professor says a more intimate familiarity with a strange language can be acquired than is now acquired in five years by the methods so frequently employed in the schools.

ENCOURAGING AMERICAN TALENT.

The National Conservatory of Music, New York, of which Mrs. Jeannette M. Thurbur is president, is doing a great work for the development of American musical talent. This admirable institution, in order to give an additional impulse to the advancement of music in the United States, proposes to award prizes for the best grand or comic opera, words and music, for the best libretto for a grand or comic opera, and for the best piano or violin concerto, and for the best symphony, oratorio and cantata, each and all of these works to be composed or written by composers and librettists born in the United States and not above 35 years of age.

This is a most commendable piece of enterprise, and it may reasonably be expected to demonstrate that there is a great deal of talent for musical composition in this country which only needs proper encouragement and stimulus to produce works of the higher order that will reflect credit upon the country. It is not very long ago that the regret was often heard that America had no dramatist worthy of the title, but as soon as American managers began to encourage home writers by producing their plays and generously paying

for them, the dramatists appeared, and a number of them have achieved fame and fortune. Nobody questions now that Americans have a talent for dramatic writing, and there is a characteristic individuality about the productions of our best playwrights which render them peculiarly attractive and interesting. Certainly it is not an unreasonable expectation that we shall be able to develop a high order of ability for musical composition if the talent of our people is adequately encouraged and stimulated. This will be done by such judicious enterprise as that of the National Conservatory of Music, and it is therefore a pleasure to commend its efforts in this direction.

PROPOSITION FOR A SUGAR FACTORY.

John Koenigstein, mayor of Norfolk and a man whom persons in this city have known favorably for fifteen years, proposes to erect a beet sugar factory of more than double the working capacity of the Oxnard plant at Norfolk upon conditions which seem to be reasonable. A well known capitalist in Omaha who knows Mayor Koenigstein endorses him as reliable and able to perform any agreement into which he may enter. Assuming, therefore, that the proposition is bona fide it is well to give it consideration.

The proposition is open for competition to any town or city in Nebraska, and it is promised that the factory shall be superior to any thus far erected in this country. The process which is to be used will save all the saccharine matter in the beets, and therefore Mayor Koenigstein says he can guarantee to pay \$4.50 per ton for beets and also offer a premium to farmers who deliver 100 tons or more. The proposed factory will be in operation by October 1, 1892, if a satisfactory bid is made for it by January 1, 1892, and if beets enough are provided he will agree to make a run of at least five months. One of the conditions which must be met is a guarantee of at least 5,000 acres of beets, but the factory will take as many more as shall be raised in its vicinity.

Mr. Koenigstein says he means business, and he should be met with businesslike propositions. There is no reason why the beet sugar industry should be solely in the hands of one company. If the Oxnards can profitably manufacture sugar from Nebraska grown beets, there is every reason to believe others can do likewise. In view of the surpassing promise for the future of this industry, an excellent thing for Nebraska can afford to offer inducements to any person or corporation to locate a factory within its tributary territory. The gentlemen who are leading the way to its development will no doubt profit by their enterprise, but the communities they select for their factories will likewise grow in wealth and will increase in population as a result of generous bonuses. It will be worth millions to Nebraska to concentrate the beet sugar business of America within her limits.

WHEN the citizens of Omaha, through the charter committee of 1890, decided to raise the salary of the treasurer to \$6,000 a year, it was decreed that all the city funds shall be deposited in the banks on competitive bids, it was expected that the various banks would bid against each other and give the city the benefit of their rivalry. But the banks have organized a pool and agreed to divide the city funds pro rata with their banking capital. They further agreed that no member of the pool should bid more than 2 per cent interest for city funds. Now while the law does not prohibit such a banking pool it is manifestly an illegal combination. Omaha bankers have a right to establish a standard interest rate on running accounts, but they have no right to nullify the law that contemplates competition. The council very properly rejected all their propositions and invited new bids. No award can be legally made for the public funds until the banking combine is dissolved.

AMERICANS will never be able to appreciate the official flummery of Great Britain. It will always appear absurd. Because a daughter of the duke of Teck has consented to marry a son of the prince of Wales the queen has concluded to raise the duke and his children to the dignity of "royal highnesses." They are now simply "highnesses."

GOOD illuminating oil and oil room politicians are strangers to each other.

Let 'Em Get Together.
Cleveland Leader.

The New York Sun's office cat has an undoubted right to sit on Grover Cleveland's back fence and meow all night.

A Scarcity of Information.
Albany Journal.

We have yet to learn of a Cleveland democrat who has been in the outcome of yesterday's speakership contest.

A Distant Boom.
Chicago News.

Some enthusiastic friends of General Nelson A. Miles are ardent boomerang that gallant soldier and estimable citizen for the presidency of the United States. The Miles boom is not large but it is picturesque.

A Gleaming Prospect.
Chicago Inter-Ocean.

It does look as if the bald-headed bachelor governor of Taunamond was a jump ahead of the father of "little Ruth." Nothing would please republicans of the United States more than to beat David B. Hill in 1892.

Keep Your Eye on the Ads.
Chicago News.

Christmas shoppers will do well to keep an eye on the attractive advertisements appearing in our columns from day to day. Our advertisers belong to the class of merchants who give the greatest values for the least amount of money.

Promises Will Not Burn.
New York Advertiser.

Alaska promises to lay down coal in San Francisco at a cost to the consumer of \$1 a ton. Also an esteemed Italian inventor assures us that he can manufacture a superior article of smokeless and odorless coal at the same reasonable price. These certainly are pleasant promises to come at this time of year; but, unfortunately, promises do not warm the poor.

Doctors' Disgrace.
New York Times.

The executions by electricity are a monstrous scandal. No civilized community can permit such dreadful work to go on. If the process cannot be made swift and certain it would be more decent and humane to kill our condemned murderers by knocking them on the head with clubs.

New York Tribune.
Five executions by electricity have demon-

strated beyond peradventure the efficiency and quickness of the new method of putting criminals to death, and the wisdom of the authors of the law of 1888, which has provoked a needless amount of unreasonable criticism. Electrical execution has already become a matter of course, and, as that on Monday proves, excites no marked degree of public interest. When the law is amended in a single particular—so it is likely to be this winter—it will leave little to be desired, so long as society does the execution of murderers the best method of preventing murder.

SABRITH TICKLERS.

Harpur's Hazy: "A famous lawyer says that to achieve eminence in that profession a young man should go to work with a will." That's good advice—especially if it's a very rich man's will.

Detroit Free Press: "What would you do if you were in my shoes?" asked the perplexed Chicago girl of her St. Louis friend.

"Change them for a smaller pair," was the consoling reply.

New York Herald: Boss-Gorge must be getting cold blooded.

Test: "Which do you think so?" "He pointed out an acquaintance with a very red nose this morning and said he was a greenhorn."

Philadelphia Press: "McClackie—Why didn't you speak to Jansyn when we passed? Don't you know him?"

McClackie: "Yes, I know him. Don't weigh de crap tell de barn."

An frosty winter's come: "Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Don't put religion in yo' boot: "In swamper of a haire; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Don't trust de talk tongue too far: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Don't go on a spile de bread: "In meat of de bread; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Don't rob de law in any work: "By promissin' tomorrow; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

For of you sell what ain't yo' own: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Don't go to de school tomorrow: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

Well, folks you can't know: "Well folks you can't know; 'Not don't you count yo' money tell you's got it yo' thumb."

HERE AND THERE.

The political traditions of New England tell of a long line of staid old characters who were successively elected to places of public trust because of their eminent respectability and personal integrity. Later generations are said to have inherited these sterling qualities, and it has come to be a generally accepted truth that only the wealthy, staid, retired merchant or the erudite and profound scholar ever attain the distinction of a place on the board of aldermen of a well-regulated New England city. This is a very pretty sentiment to peruse the pages of school text books, economic reviews, newspaper, etc., but it has recently been knocked into smithereens. Councilman Scraper is not the sole product of the wild, westward march of civilization. In editorial type a graphic account of the Blinner-Specht mill in Omaha, but not a word can it devote to a recent Sullivan incident at a meeting of the Boston board of aldermen.

Mayor-elect George P. Benis happened to be in Boston at the time and attended the meeting of the board of aldermen. A local account of the affair states that Mr. Benis very much enjoyed the scrap. The report continues:

"The trouble between Aldermen Farmer and Lee was all about a small piece of land, which Alderman Farmer was in favor of purchasing